

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF
JUNE 27, 2001
U.S. Appln. No. 09/492,749

REMARKS

Claims 1-13 are all the claims pending in the application.


This response follows an Action of June 27, 2001 requiring an election between the species of Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. The Examiner has indicated that claim 1 is generic to all figures.

The Applicant hereby elects the specie of Figure 1. Claims 1-8 read on that specie. It is also believed that in addition to claim 1, claims 2 and 3 are generic to all of the disclosed embodiments. For example, claim 3 reads on Figures 1, 2 and 3.

The Applicant has noted a minor error in claim 3 which has been corrected. Support for the change exist throughout the specification since it is clear that the fluid receives heat upstream before being ejected into the ejection chamber.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

for  # 21,092
Neil B. Siegel
Registration No. 25,200

Date: July 27, 2001

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF
JUNE 27, 2001
U.S. Appln. No. 09/492,749

APPENDIX

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

IN THE CLAIMS:

The claims are amended as follows:

3. (Amended) A device according to claim 1, wherein at least one of said fluids receives heat upstream [from] before being injected into said injection chamber from a heat exchanger for cooling the nozzle and/or the injection chamber.